

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/706,846	11/12/2003	Gregory B. Venema	4430-031234 (03-1257)	6084	
7590 12/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Daniel C. Abeles, Esq.			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS		
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC Alcoa Inc., Alcoa Technical Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Technical Drive Alcoa Center, PA 15069-0001			1742 DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/706,846	VENEMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	

	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED ! [\(\frac{1}{20}\) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.	• .
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 12-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-11</u> .	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No/s)		1
13. Other:	(1 10/00/00) 1 aper No(s).	BAV VIII	G ST FYAMINER
	•	HUY KIN SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNICLOGY CEN	II CAMBINELL
:			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended limitation of compensating for a decrease in width and thickness (cl. 1, 12, 13) or the near-net shape article 1.25wt%-2.5wt% greater than (final?) product width and length has not previously been claimed and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because ASM Vol 4 does not mention solution heat treating has not been found persuasive. The context of p 855 of ASM is quenching precipitation hardenable aluminum alloys (after heat treatment) and further forming and straightening after said quenching. Though ASM Vol 4 does not mention imparting a temper that includes stretching, the primary reference of Hunt does mention said stretching (prior to aging) is useful to provide a product with excellent mechanical properties (columns 6-7). Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because there is no motivation to combine the prior art and perform a method of heat treating and working substantially as set forth in the instant claims has not been found persuasive. As stated in the final rejection, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform a step of machining to roughly finish dimensions, as taught by "ASM Vol. 4 Heat Treating", for the process of forming a heat treatable aluminum alloy taught by Hunt, because "ASM Vol. 4 Heat Treating" teaches said machining prior to solution treating minimizes residual stresses and reduces the cooling rate differential between the surface and enter thickness ("ASM Vol. 4 Heat Treating" p 854).

Junpa